UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/535,199	05/17/2005	Hiroaki Ishikawa	Q87982	2365	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 01/17/2008 5550		EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW		COKER, R	COKER, ROBERT A	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			3616		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/535,199	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert A. Coker	3616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05/17</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachmen	<b>t(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)	
2) Notic 3) Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nil Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/535,199 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group 1- Figures 1-32;

Group 2- Figures 33-36;

Group 3- Figures 37-40;

Group 4- Figure 42;

Group 5- Figure 44;

Group 6- Figure 46;

Group 7- Figures 47-49;

Group 8- Figure 50;

Group 9- Figure 52;

Group 10- Figure 53;

Group 11- Figure 54;

Group 12- Figure 55;

Group 13- Figure 56;

Group14- Figure 57;

Group 15- Figure 58;

Application/Control Number: 10/535,199

Art Unit: 3616

Group 16- Figures 59-60;

Group 17- Figure 61 and

Group 18- Figures 62-68.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Please note that currently the claims are so idiomatic in nature that it is difficult to ascertain which claims read on which species. The Examiner requests the Applicants assistance in identifying the appropriate claims. It appears that at least claim 1 is generic.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Currently, the

Application/Control Number: 10/535,199

Art Unit: 3616

technical features that are shared amongst each of the embodiments include wheels, hubs, bearings, acceleration sensors and in some instances rotary sensors.

All of these elements are well known in the vehicle art and as such are not "special" technical features in that they do not define over the art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,199

Art Unit: 3616

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Coker whose telephone number is (571)272-8514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8.30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-

Application/Control Number: 10/535,199 Page 6

Art Unit: 3616

8300.Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert A Coker Examiner Art Unit 3616

PRIMARY EXAMINER

PRIMARY EXAMINER

1 16 08